

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
N. 4:	10/566,560	THIVEOS, KONSTANTIN		
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael P. Nghiem	2863		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308.	olication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>	
1	23 February 2007.			
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 13-24.				
<ul> <li>3.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this i	national stage applica	·	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	IENT of this application.			
<ol> <li>A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give</li> </ol>	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER' es reason(s) why the oath or declara	S AMENDMENT or Nation is deficient.	IOTICE OF	
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") muse</li> <li>(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers</li> <li>1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the state of the property of the state of the property of the</li></ol>	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- s Amendment / Comment or in the C	office action of	e back) of	
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL r FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC	nust be submitted.   AL MATERIAL.	Note the	
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<ol> <li>5. ☐ Notice of Informal P</li> <li>6. ☐ Interview Summary</li> <li>Paper No./Mail Da</li> </ol>	(PTO-413), le		
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date	7. 🛛 Examiner's Amendr	7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendment/Comment		
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. ⊠ Examiner's Stateme	<ul><li>8. ☑ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance</li><li>9. ☐ Other</li></ul>		

## Examiner's Amendment

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given by Mr. Werner Stemer during a telephone interview on March 12, 2007.

The application has been amended as follows:

Replace Claim 13 with the following:

-- Claim 13 (currently amended): A method of validating fault symptoms appearing at driver outputs, which comprises the following steps:

recording a symptom, present at a driver output, and classifying the symptom into one of several classes of different symptoms by way of a symptom recognition unit;

with a symptom validation unit, assigning to the symptom an item of symptom validation data of "valid" or "invalid" in dependence on a classification resulting from the classifying step;

if the symptom validation item is "valid," reporting [[the]] <u>a</u> classification <u>that is</u> <u>valid</u>, or if the symptom validation item is "invalid," reporting <u>a classification that is</u> <u>invalid</u> [[an item of reporting data assigned to the classification]]; and

reporting the item of symptom validation data. --

Replace Claim 19 with the following:

-- Claim 19 (currently amended): A system for assigning an information item "valid" or "invalid" to fault symptoms appearing at a driver output, comprising:

a final stage including a symptom recognition unit with a symptom validation unit and a symptom output unit;

said symptom recognition unit recording symptoms present at the driver output and classifying each symptom into one of several classes of different symptoms;

said symptom validation unit being configured to assign to a symptom an item of symptom validation data of "valid" or "invalid" in dependence on a classification by said symptom recognition unit;

a symptom reporting unit connected to said final stage and to receive from said system output unit the classification and the item of validation data, and configured to report, if the information item "valid" is assigned, [[the]] a classification that is valid and the symptom validation information, or, if the information item "invalid" is assigned, a classification that is invalid [[the item of reporting data assigned to the classification]] and the item of symptom validation data. --

## Reasons For Allowance

The combination as claimed wherein a method of validating fault symptoms comprising determining if the symptom validation item is "valid," reporting a classification that is valid, or if the symptom validation item is "invalid," reporting a

classification that is invalid and reporting the item of symptom validation data (claims 13, 19) is not disclosed, suggested, or made obvious by the prior art of record.

Zbytniewski et al. (US 5,937,366) discloses a device for classifying fault behavior in electronic systems (Abstract, lines 1-2). However, Zbytniewski et al. does not determine if a classification is valid or invalid with respect to a fault symptom.

Holscher et al. ("Microcomputer in der Sicherheitstechnik") discloses 5 safety classes (English Translation, pages 2-3). Holscher et al. further discloses that some of the applications are incorrectly classified (English Translation, page 3, last paragraph, lines 3-4), suggesting that the classification may be invalid. However, Holscher et al. does not disclose reporting the classification that is invalid along with the symptom (symptom validation data).

## Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P Nghiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-2277. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,560

Art Unit: 2863

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

MICHAEL NGHIEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Nghiem

March 12, 2007